# On the Summability of Lagrange Interpolation

## A. K. VARMA AND T. M. MILLS

Department of Mathematics, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32601

Communicated by Oved Shisha

Received October 18, 1971

## 1. Introduction

Let

be an aggregate of points such that, for each n,

$$1 \geqslant x_1^{(n)} > x_2^{(n)} > \dots > x_n^{(n)} \geqslant -1.$$

For any continuous function f(x) with domain [-1, 1] we define the *n*th Lagrange interpolation polynomial of f(x) with respect to B to be that unique polynomial of degree at most n-1 which assumes the values  $f(x_1^{(n)}),...,f(x_n^{(n)})$  at  $x_1^{(n)}, x_2^{(n)},..., x_n^{(n)}$ , respectively.

Here we shall consider the case where the points  $x_k^{(n)}$  (k = 1, ..., n) are the zeros of the Chebyshev polynomial  $T_n(x) = \cos(n \arccos x)$ : that is

$$x_k^{(n)} = \cos(2k - 1) \pi/2n, \quad k = 1,..., n.$$
 (1.1)

These interpolation polynomials are given by

$$L_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k^{(n)}) \ T_n(x) / ((x - x_k^{(n)}) \ T'(x_k^{(n)})).$$

Since, as it is easy to verify,

$$T_n(x)/(x-x_k^{(n)}) \ T_n'(x_k^{(n)}) = (1/n) \left(1+2\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} T_r(x) \ T_r(x_k^{(n)})\right),$$

the  $L_n(f)$  can be expressed as follows:

$$L_n(f, x) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} c_r(f) T_r(x), \qquad (1.2)$$

where

$$c_0(f) = 1/n \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(x_k^{(n)})$$
 (1.3)

and

$$c_r(f) = 2/n \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k^{(n)}) T_r(x_k^{(n)}), \qquad r = 1, ..., n-1.$$
 (1.4)

The properties of this sequence of polynomials are sometimes similar to those of the partial sums of the Fourier series of an integrable  $2\pi$ -periodic function. Therefore, as in the theory of Fourier series, it is natural to consider summability methods which would sum the sequence  $(L_n(f))$  to f for a large class of functions.

We shall consider summability methods

$$\Lambda_n(f, x) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \lambda_r^{(n)} c_r(f) \ T_r(x), \tag{1.5}$$

which arise from a triangular matrix  $(\lambda_k^{(n)})$  k=0,1,...,n-1; n=1,2,... It is easy to see that

$$\Lambda_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k^{(n)}) A_{k,n}(x), \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$A_{k,n}(x) = (1/n) \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \lambda_r^{(n)} T_r(x) T_r(x_k^{(n)}) \right).$$

Here we prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** Let the matrix of coefficients  $(\lambda_i^{(n)})$  satisfy

$$\lambda_0^{(n)} = 1; \quad \lambda_j^{(n)} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad j \geqslant n; \quad \lambda_{n-1}^{(n)} = O(1/n)$$
 (1.7)

and either

$$|\lambda_{j+1}^{(n)} - 2\lambda_j^{(n)} + \lambda_{j-1}^{(n)}| = O(1/n^2), \quad j = 1, ..., n-1$$
 (1.8)

or

$$1 - \lambda_1^{(n)} = O(1/n), \quad \lambda_{i+1}^{(n)} - 2\lambda_i^{(n)} + \lambda_{i-1}^{(n)} \geqslant 0 \quad j = 1, ..., n-1.$$
 (1.9)

Then

$$\|A_n(f) - f\| \le c_1/n \sum_{r=1}^n \omega(1/r),$$
 (1.10)

for  $f \in C[-1, 1]$  having modulus of continuity  $\omega(\delta)$ . The constant  $c_1$  (and elsewhere  $c_2$ ,  $c_3$ ,...) is positive and independent of n and f.

Now we give some choices of  $\lambda_i$ 's which satisfy the above requirement.

(a) 
$$\lambda_j^{(n)} = \frac{(n-j)^m}{(n-j)^m + j^m}$$
  $j = 0, 1, ..., n-1$   
= 0  $j \ge n$ ;

(b) 
$$\lambda_j^{(n)} = \frac{(n-j)^m}{n^m}$$
  $j = 0, 1,..., n$   
= 0  $j \ge n$ .

#### 2. Preliminaries

If  $f(x) \equiv 1$  then

$$c_0(f) = 1$$

and, for r = 1, 2, ..., n - 1,

$$c_r(f) = 2/n \sum_{k=1}^{n} T_r(x_k^{(n)})$$

$$= 2/n \sum_{k=1}^{n} \cos(((2k-1) r/2n) \pi)$$

$$= 0.$$

So by (1.5) and (1.7)

$$\Lambda_n(1, x) \equiv 1,$$

and, therefore,

$$|A_n(f, x) - f(x)| \le \sum_{k=1}^n |f(x_k^{(n)}) - f(x)| |A_{kn}(x)|$$

$$\le \sum_{k=1}^n \omega(|x_k^{(n)} - x|) |A_{kn}(x)|.$$

Let  $x = \cos \theta$ ,  $x_k^{(n)} = \cos \theta_k^{(n)}$ , k = 1,..., n. We have then

$$|\Lambda_n(f,x) - f(x)| \le \sum_{k=1}^n \omega(|\theta_k^{(n)} - \theta|) |P_{k,n}(\theta)|,$$
 (2.1)

where

$$P_{k,n}(\theta) = A_{k,n}(\cos \theta)$$

$$= (1/n) + (2/n) \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \lambda_r^{(n)} \cos r\theta \cos r\theta_k^{(n)}.$$
(2.2)

To prove the theorem we need some preliminary notation and estimates. We denote the Fejér kernel by

$$t_j(\theta) = 1 + \frac{2}{j} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (j-i) \cos i\theta$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{j} \left( \frac{\sin j\theta/2}{\sin \theta/2} \right)^2$  for  $j = 2, 3, ..., n$ 

and  $t_1(\theta) \equiv 1$ .

Associated with this kernel we introduce

$$\tau_{i,k}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}(t_i(\theta + \theta_k^{(n)}) + t_i(\theta - \theta_k^{(n)})).$$

It is easy to verify that

$$(j+1) \tau_{j+1,k}(\theta) - 2j\tau_{j,k}(\theta) + (j-1) \tau_{j-1,k}(\theta) = 2 \cos j\theta \cos j\theta_k^{(n)}.$$

Using this relation we obtain

$$P_{k,n}(\theta) = 1/n \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_{r-1}^{(n)} - 2\lambda_r^{(n)} + \lambda_{r+1}^{(n)}) r \tau_{r,k}(\theta) + \lambda_{n-1}^{(n)} \tau_{n,k}(\theta). \quad (2.3)$$

If there is no confusion we shall write  $A_k$ ,  $P_k$ ,  $\lambda_k$ ,  $\theta_k$ , for  $A_{k,n}$ ,  $P_{k,n}$ ,  $\lambda_k^{(n)}$ ,  $\theta_k^{(n)}$ 

Naturally enough, we shall require the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. Under the hypotheses (1.7), (1.8) or (1.7), (1.9) we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} |A_k(x)| = \sum_{k=1}^{n} |P_k(\theta)| = O(1).$$

*Proof.* Let (1.7) and (1.8) hold. That is,

$$\lambda_0 = 1, \quad \lambda_j = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad j \geqslant n, \quad \lambda_{n-1} = O(1/n)$$

and

$$|\lambda_{i+1}-2\lambda_i+\lambda_{i-1}|=O(1/n^2)$$
  $j=1,...,n-1.$ 

Then by these hypotheses and (2.3) we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} |P_k(\theta)| \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{n} 1/n \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |\lambda_{j+1} - 2\lambda j + \lambda_{j-1}| j\tau_{j,k}(\theta) \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} |\lambda_{n-1}| \tau_{n,k}(\theta)$$

$$= 1/n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} O(1/n^2), jn + O(1/n)n$$

$$= O(1).$$

Alternatively, let (1.7) and (1.9) hold. That is

$$\lambda_0 = 1, \quad \lambda_j = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad j \geqslant n, \quad \lambda_{n-1} = O(1/n)$$

and

$$1 - \lambda_1 = O(1/n), \quad \lambda_{j+1} - 2\lambda j + \lambda_{j-1} \geqslant 0, \quad j = 1, ..., n-1.$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} |P_k(\theta)| \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_{j+1} - 2\lambda_j + \lambda_{j-1}) j + n0(1/n) = O(1).$$

LEMMA 2. Let  $\theta \neq \theta_k$ . Then for  $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$  and  $1 \leqslant r \leqslant n$ ,

$$au_{r,k}(\theta) \leqslant \pi^2/r(\theta-\theta_k)^2.$$

Proof. By definition,

$$\tau_{r,k}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2r} \left( \frac{\sin^2(r(\theta + \theta_k))/2}{\sin(\theta + \theta_k)/2} + \frac{\sin^2(r(\theta - \theta_k))/2}{\sin^2(\theta - \theta_k)/2} \right). \tag{2.4}$$

Also

$$\sin\frac{\theta+\theta_k}{2}=\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\theta_k}{2}+\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\theta_k}{2},$$

and, hence,

$$\left|\sin\frac{\theta+\theta_k}{2}\right| \geqslant \left|\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\theta_k}{2} - \cos\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\theta_k}{2}\right| = \sin\left|\frac{\theta-\theta_k}{2}\right|. \quad (2.5)$$

Then the lemma follows from (2.4), (2.5), and Jordan's inequality, namely,

$$|\sin x| \geqslant 2/\pi |x|$$
 if  $0 \leqslant |x| \leqslant \pi/2$ .

LEMMA 3. Let  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ , and let  $\theta_j = ((2j-1)\pi)/2n$  be the node nearest to  $\theta$ . Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (\omega(\mid \theta_k - \theta \mid))/(\theta_k - \theta)^2 \leqslant c_2 n \sum_{r=1}^n \omega(1/r)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=j+1}^{n} (\omega(\mid \theta_k - \theta \mid))/(\theta_k - \theta)^2 \leqslant c_3 n \sum_{r=1}^{n} \omega(1/r).$$

(If j = 1 or n then only one of these inequalities holds.)

Proof. This lemma is contained implicitly in a paper given by Bojanic [1].

#### 3. Proof of the Theorem

We can now prove the theorem. Let j be as in Lemma 3. By (2.1),

$$\begin{split} |A_n(f,x)-f(x)| & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \omega(|\theta_k-\theta|) |P_k(\theta)| + \omega(|\theta_j-\theta|) |P_j(\theta)| \\ & + \sum_{k=j+1}^{n} \omega(|\theta_k-\theta|) |P_k(\theta)|. \end{split}$$

As remarked before, the first or last sum may not appear in some cases. By our choice of  $\theta_j$  and Lemma 1,

$$\omega(\mid \theta_{j} - \theta \mid) \mid P_{j}(\theta) \mid \leq c_{3}\omega(1/n) \mid P_{j}(\theta) \mid$$

$$\leq c_{4}\omega(1/n)$$

$$\leq C_{5}/n \sum_{r=1}^{n} \omega(1/r). \tag{2.9}$$

Suppose (1.7) and (1.8) hold. Then by these hypotheses and Lemmas 2 and 3,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \omega(|\theta_{k} - \theta|) |P_{k}(\theta)|$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \omega(|\theta_{k} - \theta|) \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} |\lambda_{r+1} - 2\lambda_{r} + \lambda_{r-1}| r \tau_{r,k}(\theta) + |\lambda_{n-1}| \tau_{n,k}(\theta) \right)$$

$$\leq c_{8}/n^{3} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} r \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \omega(|\theta_{k} - \theta|) \tau_{r,k}(\theta) + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} |\lambda_{n-1}| \omega(|\theta_{k} - \theta|) \tau_{n,k}(\theta)$$

$$\leq c_{7}/n^{3} \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (\omega(|\theta_{k} - \theta|)/(\theta_{k} - \theta)^{2}) + c_{8}/n \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \omega(|\theta_{k} - \theta|) \tau_{r,k}(\theta)$$

$$\leq c_{9}/n \sum_{r=1}^{n} \omega(1/r). \tag{2.10}$$

A similar estimate is valid for  $\sum_{k=j+1}^{n} \omega(|\theta_k - \theta|) |P_k(\theta)|$  and so by (2.9) and (2.10) the proof is complete.

It remains to consider the case when (1.7) and (1.9) hold. Since the inequality (2.9) is still valid, it suffices to estimate the sum  $\sum_{k=1}^{j-1}$  under these conditions.

Now

$$\begin{split} A & \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \omega(\mid \theta - \theta_k \mid) \mid P_k(\theta) \mid \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \omega(\mid \theta - \theta_k \mid) \left( 1/n \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_{r+1} - 2\lambda_r + \lambda_{r-1}) r \tau_{r,k}(\theta) + \mid \lambda_{n-1} \mid \tau_{n,k}(\theta) \right) \\ & = 1/n \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_{r+1} - 2\lambda_r + \lambda_{r-1}) r \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \omega(\mid \theta - \theta_k \mid) \tau_{r,k} \\ & + \mid \lambda_{n-1} \mid \sum_{r=1}^{j-1} \omega(\mid \theta - \theta \mid) \tau_{n,k}(\theta). \end{split}$$

In using Lemmas 2 and 3 and the hypotheses (1.7) and (1.9).

$$A \leq 1/n \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_{r+1} - 2\lambda_r + \lambda_{r-1}) \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (\omega(|\theta - \theta_k|)/(\theta - \theta_k)^2)$$

$$+ c_{10}/n^2 \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (\omega(|\theta - \theta_k|)/(\theta - \theta_k)^2)$$

$$\leq c_{11} \left( \sum_{r=1}^{n} \omega(1/r) \right) (1 - \lambda_1 - \lambda_{n-1}) \leq c_{12}/n \sum_{r=1}^{n} \omega(1/r).$$

Again a similar estimate is valid for  $\sum_{k=j+1}^{n}$  and the proof of the theorem is complete.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to thank Professor R. Bojanic for his valuable remarks.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. BOJANIC, A note on the precision of interpolation by Hermite-Fejér polynomials. "Proceedings of the Conference on the Constructive Theory of Functions held in Budapest, 1969," pp. 69-76, Budapest, 1972.